

LISKEARD TOWN COUNCIL

MINUTES of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING** held in the Council Chamber on Tuesday 2 February 2016 at 6.45 pm

PRESENT

The Mayor Councillor Phil Seeva - Ex-Officio

The Deputy Mayor Councillor Jane Pascoe - in the Chair

Councillors: Adam Hodgkins, Anne Purdon, James Shrubsole, Lorna Shrubsole

Also in attendance: Councillors Roger Holmes and Sally Hawken

Town Clerk: Steve Vinson

Minute Clerk: Stuart Houghton

Members of the Public: None

The Chairman advised those present of Housekeeping matters and reminded all that the meeting was being recorded.

658/15 APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Councillors Tony Powell and Christina Whitty.

659/15 DECLARATIONS OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS REGISTERABLE OR NON REGISTERABLE

None.

660/15 MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON MONDAY 19 JANUARY 2016

Councillor Hodgkins proposed, Councillor Purdon seconded and the Committee **RESOLVED** to **APPROVE** the Minutes of the meeting held on 19 January 2016.

661/15 UPDATE ON PROGRESS OF RESOLUTIONS FROM THE MEETING HELD ON 19 JANUARY 2016

The Town Clerk reported that he had contacted the Traders Association and Chamber of Commerce to inform them of the current situation about the B&M appeal. The Chamber of Commerce had indicated their intention to attend the hearing.

He had also received a reply to his letter to Trago Mills informing that Trago Mills would not make a representation to the hearing.

662/15 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

No members of the public were present.

663/15 CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondence had been received from CALC giving their response to the Governments proposal to make changes to the NPPF. This would be discussed later.

An e-mail had been received from Cornwall Council informing us that the Planning Officer disagreed with our decision, on application PA15/11413 and PA15/11414, to install double glazed windows as part of the planned restoration works. His reason was “the application proposes the use of double glazed windows on a listed building (and terrace) which includes single glazes timber windows which is considered to be invasive and harmful to the character and historic integrity of the listed building”.

The Committee **STRONGLY DISAGREED** with this statement.

Members had visited the location before making their original decision and commented;

- i. The proposal was like for like, but double glazed
- ii. The proposal was for wooden frames, not uPVC
- iii. Photographs had been taken that showed many different style and shape of windows
- iv. The proposal was in accordance with the NPPF and Local Plan for energy efficiency

Councillor Purdon proposed, Councillor J. Shrubsole seconded and the Committee **RESOLVED** that its original decision should stand, and that if the case officer continued to disagree, the application should be called in for a decision by the Committee.

664/15 APPLICATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION

PA15/11589 - Mrs Patricia A Oxley, 13 Fore street

Listed building consent for dry rot remedial repairs to include replacement of structural floor timber to lower and ground floor area. Joinery replacement, removal and replacement of damp plasterboard, repair to stairs, replacement of windows and flat roof over wc.

Councillor L. Shrubsole proposed, Councillor Purdon seconded and the Committee **RESOLVED** to **RECOMMEND** that the Council **SUPPORT** the application.

PA15/12020 - Mrs K. Clarke, 6 Whitley Grange

Rear extension to provide dining area and utility room

.2.

362/15

Councillor Hodgkins proposed, Councillor J. Shrubsole seconded and the committee **RESOLVED** to **RECOMMEND** that the Council **SUPPORT** the application.

665/15 ANY OTHER RELEVANT BUSINESS

1. Dean Street Pedestrian Crossing

The Town Clerk reported that a message had been received from Cormac informing that the proposed pedestrian crossing in Dean Street, to which the council had objected, would not be constructed through a lack of support.

2. Thorn Park

The Town Clerk reported that a tree in Thorn Park had received storm damage. The tree had been made safe and a Planning Application for work to a listed tree had been made to improve the appearance of the tree.

3. CALC response to the DCLG's proposed changes to the NPPF.

The Town Clerk reported that a response to the document, that had been circulated, was required by the 22 February 2016. Councillors commented;

- i. An informed comment could not be made until the proposed amendments had been received and understood as this consultation refers to the changed document
- ii. The whole Council should consider this document, include it in the next Town Council agenda
- iii. Hard copies of the documents are required
- iv. Cornwall Council had debated the document, the Portfolio Holder would make an informed decision
- v. The Council probably would not see a copy of the Cornwall Council response before it made its own decision
- vi. All comments could not be agreed, the Town Council should make its own comments on the NPPF
- vii. All documents must be available for a decision to be made
- viii. The Council is a member of CALC, had we been asked to comment to them with our opinion before the document had been drafted by CALC
- ix. Information should be circulated by e-mail and a meeting held before the next Planning Committee meeting

It was agreed that Councillors would meet at 6.00 pm on Thursday 11 February 2016 to discuss and prepare a response to the proposed changes.

666/15 DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Planning Committee would be on Tuesday 16 February 2016 at 6.30 pm.